

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 RENARD T. POLK,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 STATE OF NEVADA et al.,

10 Defendants.
11

3:13-cv-00350-RCJ-WGC

ORDER

12 Plaintiff filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court denied the motion
13 and simultaneously dismissed the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Plaintiff has asked the
14 Court to reconsider dismissal and has filed a new application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The
15 Court still finds that no discernable issues or claims in the Complaint are non-frivolous. *See id.*;
16 *Hooker v. Am. Airlines*, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002).

17 **CONCLUSION**

18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Reconsider (ECF No. 6) is DENIED.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (ECF No. 7)
20 is interpreted as a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal and DENIED.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: This 17th day of April, 2014.

23
24 
25 ROBERT C. JONES
United States District Judge